



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,630	09/09/2003	Sang-II Lcc	5000-1-447	3433

33942 7590 02/06/2007
CHA & REITER, LLC
210 ROUTE 4 EAST STE 103
PARAMUS, NJ 07652

EXAMINER

GOETZE, SIMON A

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2617

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	02/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/658,630

Applicant(s)

LEE ET AL.

Examiner

Simon A. Goetze

Art Unit

2617

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 September 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 09 September 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The limitation "USB-based wireless communication" is not defined and has been treated as "UWB-based wireless communication" for the purposes of this examination. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
4. **Claims 1-2 and 6-7** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **McCorkle et al. (US Patent Application Publication 2003/0054764)** in view of **Koch et al. (US Patent Application Publication 2004/0033075)**.

Consider **claims 1 and 6**, McCorkle et al. discloses an indoor local area network (LAN) system and method comprising:

at least a first remote terminal comprising:

an ultra wide-band (UWB) conversion module for converting input digital data into analog data in an ultra wide-bandwidth for transmission from said at least first remote terminal (*input data bits are encoded and applied to the UWB waveform generator before transmission –*

Figure 1a – Page 5, Paragraphs 0049-0050), and

an antenna connected with said UWB module for wirelessly transmitting the converted analog signal from the UWB module of the remote terminal in the ultra wide-bandwidth (*antenna 15 – Figure 1a – Page 5, Paragraphs 0049-0050*);

wherein said UWB module is adapted for receiving an analog signal in an ultra wide-bandwidth via the antenna and converting the received analog signal into a digital signal (*receiver 11 converts received UWB waveform into an electrical signal and then is correlated, leaving the data – Figure 1a – Page 2, Paragraphs 0022-0023 and Page 4, Paragraph 0046*);

at least a first access point for performing UWB-based wireless communication with said first remote terminal in a corresponding area (*UWB transceiver used as part of a local area network – Page 7, Paragraph 0070*); and

a central unit in communication with said first access point determining a destination of the converted digital signal and transmitting the digital signal to the determined destination (*part of the LAN infrastructure – Page 7, Paragraph 0070*).

However, McCorkle et al. fails to disclose that the access point converts the received signal into an optical signal before transmitting the information to the central unit.

In related prior art, Koch et al. discloses that said access point receives said analog signal transmitted from said first remote terminal and converts the received analog signal into an optical signal (*access points receive from a variety of devices and convert received data to optical signals in order to transmit to the PON interface – Page 3, Paragraphs 0025 and 0027*); and

said central unit receiving via an optical cable, said optical signal converted by said access point, converting the received optical signal into a digital signal (*upstream data transmission from PON interface 12 e.g. data sent to an ISP – ISP 18 via Router 20, Figure 1 – Page 3, Paragraphs 0025-0026*).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Koch et al. with those of McCorkle et al. in

order to utilize the high bandwidth data transmissions of UWB in a LAN setting due to the short distance capabilities of UWB.

Consider **claims 2 and 7**, as applied to claims 1 and 6 above, McCorkle et al. as modified by Koch et al. fails to specifically disclose the use of UWB remote terminals with the optically connected LAN.

McCorkle et al. discloses a UWB terminal in communication with an access point which further forwards the information to a central unit (*UWB transceiver used as part of a local area network – Page 7, Paragraph 0070*) and an access point which receives data and transmits it to the UWB terminal (*receiver receives information via the antenna – Figure 1 – Page 3, Paragraph 0025*).

Koch et al. discloses the use of remote terminals which wirelessly connect to an access point optical transmitter for receiving said signal transmitted from said first remote terminal and for converting the received signal into an optical signal and transmitting the converted optical signal to said central unit via said optical cable (*node 28 transmits received information from remote terminals via optical fiber 11 – Figure 1 – Page 2, Paragraph 0024 and Page 3, Paragraphs 0024-0026*); and

an access point optical receiver for receiving an optical signal transmitted from said central unit, converting the received optical signal and transmitting the converted signal to a remote terminal of said determined destination (*node 28 receives information via optical fiber 11 and transmits the information to the remote terminals – Page 3, Paragraph 0029*).

It would have been obvious of a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Koch et al. with those of McCorkle et al.

Art Unit: 2617

because Koch et al. discloses an optically connected LAN which converts signals to be transmitted to a variety of terminals, such as the UWB terminal taught by McCorkle et al. and to employ this system as UWB in order to provide high bandwidth communications wirelessly to remote users.

5. **Claims 11-12** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Koch et al. (US Patent Application Publication 2004/0033075)** in view of **McCorkle et al. (US Patent Application Publication 2003/0054764)**.

Consider **claim 11**, Koch et al. discloses an indoor LAN system comprising:

a first area, a second area, and a third area of sub-networks having respective management ranges of nodes therein (*there can be any number of groups 26 containing nodes 28 which are connected to terminals – Figure 1 – Page 3, Paragraphs 0025-0026*);

a first access point, a second access point, and a third access point, each of the first, second and third access points associated with a respective area (*there can be any number of groups 26 containing nodes 28 which are connected to terminals – Figure 1 – Page 3, Paragraphs 0025-0026*);

a central unit that is in communication with the first, second, and third areas and with an external network (*PON interface is in communication with PSTN, ISP, and Video Content as an example, but could be connected to many other different sources – Figure 1 – Page 3, Paragraphs 0024 and 0027*);

wherein each of the sub-networks and respective nodes communicate via their respective communication protocols (*Page 3, Paragraph 0025*); and

wherein each of the sub-networks and the central unit and the central unit communicate via optical fiber (*optical fiber cable 11 – Figure 1 – Page 3, Paragraphs 0024 and 0029*); and

wherein each of the access points includes an optical transmitter/receiver module for converting optical communication from one of the first, second, and third areas or said central unit and converting said communication into a signal to communicate with at least one of the respective nodes (*PON communicates with the nodes 28 – Figure 1 – Page 3, Paragraphs 0024 and 0029*).

However, Koch et al. fails to disclose that the remote terminals communicate with the access points of the LAN via UWB signals.

In related prior art, McCorkle et al. discloses a UWB-based wireless communication remote terminal with said first remote terminal in a corresponding area which communicates with a LAN (*UWB transceiver used as part of a local area network – Page 7, Paragraph 0070*).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Koch et al. with those of McCorkle et al. in order to . in order to utilize the high bandwidth data transmissions of UWB in a LAN setting due to the short distance capabilities of UWB.

Consider **claim 12**, as applied to claim 11 above, Koch et al. as modified by McCorkle et al. further discloses that the central unit and the external network communicate via a Fiber To The Home (FTTH) system (*Koch et al – PON networks are known in the art as FTTH systems*).

6. **Claims 3-5 and 8-10** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **McCorkle et al. (US Patent Application Publication 2003/0054764)** in view of **Koch et al.**

(US Patent Application Publication 2004/0033075), further in view of Santhoff et al. (US Patent Application Publication 2006/0291536).

Consider **claims 3-4 and 8-9**, as applied to claims 2 and 7 above, McCorkle et al. as modified by Koch et al. further discloses that said central unit includes:

a central unit optical transmitter/receiver module for receiving said optical signal from said first access point optical transmitter and converting the received optical signal into an electrical signal (*Koch et al. – PON interface is in communication with PSTN, ISP, and Video Content as an example, but could be connected to many other different sources – Figure 1 – Page 3, Paragraphs 0024 and 0027*);

a central unit module for receiving said electrical signal converted by said optical transmitter/receiver module and converting the received electrical signal into a digital signal and for converting into an optical signal and transmitting to the access point for management of a network to which a destination remote terminal corresponding to said transfer path information belongs (*Koch et al. – upstream data transmission from PON interface 12 e.g. data sent to an ISP – ISP 18 via Router 20, Figure 1 – Page 3, Paragraphs 0025-0026 – PON communicates with the nodes 28 – Figure 1 – Page 3, Paragraphs 0024 and 0029*); and

a routing module for determining a destination of said digital signal converted by said module from said digital signal, setting up a transfer path of said digital signal based on the determined result and sending said digital signal to said module with information regarding said transfer path contained therein (*Page 3, Paragraph 0027; Page 4, Paragraphs 0035-0036*).

However, McCorkle et al. as modified by Koch et al. fails to specifically disclose that the central unit contains a UWB module.

In related prior art, Santhoff et al. discloses UWB communication through a wire medium, such as an optical fiber, and portions of the network are connected via optical fiber and access points which function as wireless UWB devices, as well. The central unit contains a module which is adapted to convert said digital signal containing said information regarding said transfer path set up by said routing module into an analog electrical signal of the ultra wide-bandwidth and send the converted analog electrical signal to said central unit optical transmitter/receiver (*Figures 3 and 4 – Abstract; Page 6, Paragraphs 0065-0066; Page 7, Paragraphs 0071-0073; Page 8, Paragraph 0082*).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Santhoff et al. with those of McCorkle et al. as modified by Koch in order to utilize the high bandwidth capability of UWB signals.

Consider **claims 5 and 10**, as applied to claims 3 and 8 above, McCorkle et al. as modified by Koch et al. and further by Santhoff et al. further discloses:

that said routing module is adapted to, upon determining from said digital signal converted by said central unit UWB module that said destination of said digital signal is not a terminal in a network managed by said access point connected with said central unit via said optical cable, transfer said digital signal containing said transfer path information to an outdoor network connected with said central unit, and manage communication of a destination remote terminal corresponding to said transfer path information (*Koch et al – there can be any number of groups 26 containing nodes 28 which are connected to terminals – Figure 1 – Page 3, Paragraphs 0025-0026 and the destination and path is determined with addressing Page 3, Paragraph 0027; Page 4, Paragraphs 0035-0036*).

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon and is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure is listed below.

US 20030007214 A1	Wireless base station network system, control station, base station switching method, signal processing method, and handover control method	Aburakawa, Yuji et al.
US 20030227980 A1	Ultra wideband (UWB) transmitter architecture	Batra, Anuj et al.
US 20010033610 A1	Method for transmitting and receiving digital information over unused portions of licensed communication channels	Chastain, William J.
US 6895185 B1	Multi-purpose optical fiber access network	Chung; Yun Chur et al.
US 7068937 B1	Fiber and wire communication system	Combs; Charles D. et al.
US 20030161382 A1	Distributed receiver system and method	Hershey, John Erik et al.
US 20030081630 A1	Ultra-wideband (UWB) transparent bridge	Mowery, Keith R. et al.
US 20040047631 A1	Optical communication system	Takatori, Sunao et al.

8. Any response to this Office Action should be **faxed to (571) 273-8300 or mailed to:**

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

Customer Service Window
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

Art Unit: 2617

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Simon A. Goetze whose telephone number is (571) 270-1113. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30am to 5:00pm and Friday from 7:30am to 4:00pm.


If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Nick Corsaro can be reached on (571) 272-7876. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free) or 703-305-3028.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist/customer service whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.


Simon A. Goetze
S.A.G./sag

February 2, 2007


NICK CORSARO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600